



KNOBLE, YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY  
EIGHT PENN CENTER  
SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

**COPY MAILED**

**MAR 13 2007**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:
Jeffrey Batoff	:
Application No. 10/085,394	: DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: 28 February, 2002	: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Attorney Docket No. NEXP-0005	:

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed on 28 September, 2006, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed international Application No. PCT/US01/30002, filed on 26 September, 2001, as set forth in the amendment filed with the petition.

The petition is again **DISMISSED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The petition does not satisfy item (1) above.

The reference to add the above-noted, prior-filed international application in the first sentence of the specification on page one following the title is not acceptable as drafted since it improperly incorporates by reference the prior-filed international application. An incorporation by reference statement added after an application's filing date is not effective because no new matter can be added to an application after

its filing date (*see* 35 U.S.C. § 132(a)). If an incorporation by reference statement is included in an amendment to the specification to add a benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) after the filing date of the application, the amendment would not be proper. When a benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) is submitted after the filing of an application, the reference to the prior application cannot include an incorporation by reference statement of the prior application. *See Dart Industries v. Banner*, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980). *Note* MPEP §§ 201.06(c) and 608.04(b).

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a renewed petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3) and an Application Data Sheet or an amendment (complying with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.121 and 37 CFR 1.76(b)(5)) to correct the above matters are required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:                   Mail Stop PETITIONS  
                              Commissioner for Patents  
                              Post Office Box 1450  
                              Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:                   Customer Service Window  
                              Mail Stop Petitions  
                              Randolph Building  
                              401 Dulany Street  
                              Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:                   (571) 273-8300  
                              ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Douglas I. Wood at (571) 272-3231.

*Christina Tartera Donnell*

Christina Tartera Donnell  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions